PADEM SPIAl Number:

090260

PPA Filed:

March 5, 2001

Application No. 10/090,260

Applicant:

Cahill, Bret Edward

Appn. Title:

External Rotor Gas Turbine

Examiner:

Ehud Gartenberg

Re: Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1:121)

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

In response to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment of May 21, 2004 in the above application, the fax correspondences dated 2/10/2004, 7:15 AM; 2/10/2004, 7:38 AM; 2/11/2004, 4:36 PM; and 2/11/2004, 5:12 PM are not amendments.

The material delivered by U. S. Mail dated 3/5/2004 is the amendment.

Very respectfully,

RECEIVED

JUL 0 6 2004

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

Bret Cahill

Ph: 520-325-9048

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence will be deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail with proper postage affixed in an envelope addressed to: "Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231" on the date below:

Dated:

June 14, 2004



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

JUL 0 6 2004

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER

7

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Since the first Office Action, paper no. 6, Applicant filed the following correspondence with the USPTO:

- I) by facsimile transmission at the following dates and times EST: 1) 2/10/2004 7:15AM, 2) 2/10/2004 7:38AM,
- 3) 2/11/2004 4:36PM, 4) 2/11/2004 5:12PM

II) by US Mail received 3/5/2004.

The entry of all said documents was denied because the responses do not comply with MPEP 714. Applicant is given one (1) month to submit a SINGLE response that complies with MPEP 714 and the applicable regulations quoted therein. Applicant should not mail back to the Office correspondence mailed to him by the Office. Applicant should not re-mail any document that was previously filed with the Office.

Applicant is informed that MPEP 714.03 provides that "Failure to reply to this Notice will result in abandonment of the application." Pailure to reply means failure to reply in a manner compliant with the requirements of MPEP 714.

> Ehud Gartenberg Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3746